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Objective: The authors examined the nature and prevalence of stalking behaviors, victims' coping responses, and the psychosocial impact of stalking on its victims.

Method: Widely accepted self-report measures, including the 12-item General Health Questionnaire and the Impact of Event Scale, were used to assess characteristics of the stalking history and its outcome in terms of general psychosocial distress and posttraumatic stress in a community study group of 201 female stalking victims.

Results: The majority of the victims had undergone multiple forms of harassment, including threats of violence in 74% (N=148) and actual violence in 55% (N=111). More than half of the victims met the criterion for clinically significant pathology on the General Health Questionnaire. Stalking often yielded substantial posttraumatic stress symptoms, commensurate with levels found in other studies of traumatized subjects.

Conclusions: Support-seeking female stalking victims experience pervasive and persistent threat and intrusion; these experiences lead to high levels of psychological morbidity.

(Am J Psychiatry 2001; 158:795-798)

Stalking can be defined as the willful, malicious, and repeated following or harassing of another person that threatens his or her safety (1). The most common form of stalking involves men stalking women with whom they had been sexually intimate (2). We are aware of only three studies to date that have specifically investigated the psychosocial consequences of stalking for its victims (3-5). Pathé and Mallen (3) found evidence of substantial depression, anxiety, and traumatic symptoms among victims of stalking in Australia. On the basis of a nonstandardized self-report instrument, 37% of the respondents in this study qualified for a diagnosis of posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD). A national survey in the United States among 145 stalking victims (4) indicated negative personality changes as a result of stalking: increases in caution, suspiciousness, anxiety, and aggression were most frequently reported. Finally, a study of 36 female undergraduate stalking victims (5) revealed significant posttraumatic stress symptoms. However, inferences from these studies should be made with caution, given the nature and size of the groups studied and the use of unvalidated measures to index psychological distress (6).

The goal of the present report is to contribute to this body of evidence while remedying some of the listed shortcomings of the previous studies. Specifically, we address the following questions: 1) What are the demographic characteristics of stalkers and their victims? 2) What is the nature and prevalence of specific stalking behaviors? 3) What do victims do to counteract or cope with being stalked? 4) What is the impact of stalking on its victims in terms of general health and posttraumatic stress, and how do these effects compare with the impact of other traumatic events?

Method

Study Group

The potential study group consisted of 594 members of the Dutch Stichting Anti-Stalking (Antistalking Foundation) who were given questionnaire booklets. Two hundred fifty-five (43%) of the 594 subjects returned their questionnaire booklets. Twenty of these respondents were excluded for different reasons; six had not been stalked, four were unable to complete the questionnaires because of emotional distress or physical or intellectual impairment, seven respondents expressed very unusual or blatan paradigmatic ideation in their comments on the booklet, and three returned their questionnaires unanswered or uninterpretable. Of the remaining 235 respondents, 201 were women; given this preponderance of female subjects, these were selected for inclusion in the study.

Procedure

Participants were invited through a newsletter of the Antistalking Foundation to participate in a study sponsored by the University of Amsterdam. A precondition for contacting the members of the Antistalking Foundation was that the names and addresses of the respondents would never be revealed to the university, which precluded the traditional formal informed consent procedure. Instead, a letter signed by both the Antistalking Foundation and the principal investigator (J.H.K. and PM G.E.) detailed the nature and purpose of the study, with a request to return the questionnaire booklet in an enclosed postage-free envelope. Moreover, it was explicitly stated that participation was entirely voluntary and that anonymity was guaranteed.
VICTIMS OF STALKING

TABLE 1. Types of Repeatedly Experienced Stalking Behavior Reported by 201 Female Victims of Stalking

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stalking Behavior</th>
<th>Respondents Who Reported Repeatedly Experiencing Behavior</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Made unwelcome phone calls</td>
<td></td>
<td>176</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Involved others</td>
<td></td>
<td>165</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spread rumors and lies</td>
<td></td>
<td>164</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pestered at work or home</td>
<td></td>
<td>159</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Followed on street</td>
<td></td>
<td>150</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Made unwelcome visits</td>
<td></td>
<td>149</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threatened violence</td>
<td></td>
<td>146</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sent unwelcome mail</td>
<td></td>
<td>141</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falsely gained information</td>
<td></td>
<td>131</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Damaged property</td>
<td></td>
<td>129</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Made false charges</td>
<td></td>
<td>111</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Used violence</td>
<td></td>
<td>111</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ordered items and charged them</td>
<td></td>
<td>46</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smearred home</td>
<td></td>
<td>38</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stalked by means of the Internet</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Respondents were given the Malov and Godish definition of stalking(1) and asked whether they had repeatedly experienced instances of specific stalking behaviors. Inclusion of responses was based on endorsement of multiple repeated stalking behaviors and an affirmative response to the stated definition.

Measures

General health: the General Health Questionnaire. The 12-item General Health Questionnaire (7) was used to obtain an overall index of physical and psychological symptoms. The respondent is asked to rate the extent to which she experienced 12 specific symptoms during the past week on a 4-point Likert scale on which 1 = not at all, 2 = same as usual, 3 = rather more than usual, and 4 = more than usual. The General Health Questionnaire allows for the derivation of an index of a “case” criterion, i.e., a cutoff for a level of clinically significant pathology. A frequently used (and nationally tested) normative cutoff is a score of 3 or greater (7). The internal consistency of the General Health Questionnaire in the present group was high (alpha = 0.92).

Trauma-related symptoms: the Impact of Event Scale. To measure the changes in trauma-related symptoms, we used a Dutch adaptation of the Impact of Event Scale (8), which consists of 15 items measuring two aspects of PTSD: intrusions of images and thoughts (six items) and avoidance behavior (seven items). Participants indicated how frequently they had experienced each symptom in the past 7 days. They rated their responses on a 4-point scale that ranged from not at all to often. The Impact of Event Scale is a widely used instrument that has shown favorable psychometric properties. In the present group, the Impact of Event Scale and its intrusion and avoidance subscales obtained high internal consistencies (alpha = 0.93, alpha = 0.88, and alpha = 0.76, respectively).

Stalking characteristics. A 21-item questionnaire was specifically developed for the present study to document demographic information about the respondents and their stalkers as well as objective and subjective characteristics of the history of stalking. This questionnaire included items concerning the nature and duration of stalking, consequences of stalking in terms of changes in lifestyle, and the nature of the previous relationship between victim and stalker, if any.

Results

Demographic Characteristics of Respondents and Their Stalkers

Of the 201 female respondents, 162 (81%) experienced ongoing stalking. The mean age of the respondents was 43.3 years (SD = 10.1, range = 20–70). Fifty-three respondents (26%) were married and/or living with their partner, and 145 (72%) were either unmarried, divorced, or widowed. Information on marital status was missing for three respondents. As reported by the victims, 173 (86%) of the stalkers were male, 11 (5%) were female, and the gender of five (2%) was not reported; six respondents reported multiple stalkers (such as neighbors or multiple family members). The mean age of the stalkers was 41.9 (SD = 11.0, range = 19–80). Forty-three (21%) of the stalkers were married and/or living with their partner when the stalking started, and 149 were either unmarried, divorced, or widowed. Data were missing on the marital status of nine stalkers. In 11 cases women were stalked by female stalkers. Four cases of stalking grew out of professional contacts. In 27 (13%) of the cases the stalkers were ex-partners of the victims.

Nature and Prevalence of Specific Stalking Behaviors

The median period of time the stalking continued was 38 months; 143 (71%) of the respondents reported that they had been stalked for 2 years or more. On a 1–5 scale, respondents rated their fear for their life as 3.77 (SD = 1.42), level of powerlessness as 4.80 (SD = 0.77), and perception of threat as 4.75 (SD = 0.70). Table 1 shows the frequency of various stalking behaviors respondents experienced. Most victims were exposed to a large range of stalking behaviors: more than half of the respondents had been stalked in 10 or more different ways. Threats of violence were more likely when there had been a previous intimate relationship between stalker and victim (odds ratio = 2.0, p < 0.05).

Means of Coping

One hundred ninety-five (97%) of the respondents reported fear as a result of stalking, and 177 (88%) reported feeling that their physical safety was threatened. The majority of stalking victims had sought legal counsel (N = 130, 69%), changed their phone numbers (N = 125, 62%) and daily travel routes (N = 125, 62%), avoided going out of their houses (N = 111, 55%), and increased their home security (N = 103, 51%). Sixty (30%) of the victims changed addresses within cities or moved to another city, and 34 (17%) tried both; 46 victims (23%) stopped work or school out of fear of being harassed by their stalker.

The Impact of Stalking

The respondents’ mean score on the General Health Questionnaire was 4.45 (SD = 3.90), and 119 (59%) of the respondents reported a clinically significant level of psycho-
medical symptoms, as measured by a score of 3 or higher. With regard to posttraumatic stress, the respondents' mean score on the Impact of Event Scale was 39.7 (SD = 17.0), and their scores on the subscales for intrusion and avoidance were 18.0 (SD = 7.9) and 18.2 (SD = 8.6), respectively. Table 2 compares the Impact of Event Scale scores of the subjects in the present study with scores from other studies of formally diagnosed PTSD patients and nonclinical samples (9–11). All of the studies used the Dutch version of the Impact of Event Scale (8). As can be seen, the level of the Impact of Event Scale trauma symptoms of the stalking victims was comparable to those reported in samples of victims of generally recognized trauma (9–11). Only the Impact of Event Scale scores of the sample of diagnosed PTSD patients who had experienced acts of violence, acute bereavement, or traffic accidents (9) were higher than the scores of our group of stalking victims. No significant differences were observed on the General Health Questionnaire or Impact of Event Scale scores between victims whose stalking grew out of a former intimate relationship and those with other types of previous relationships.

**Discussion**

To our knowledge, this is the first large-scale study among a support-seeking group of female stalking victims documenting the impact of stalking by means of standardized outcome measures. Our findings indicate that the experience of being stalked often resulted in substantial distress and psychiatric morbidity. To illustrate, the proportion of stalking victims who met the General Health Questionnaire caseness criterion was very similar to the proportion recently reported among victims of the Boeing 737-2D6C crash in Coventry (12). The fact that the level of traumatic complaints among this group of stalking victims was similar to that among groups of patients with PTSD suggests that many victims of stalking suffer from clinical or subclinical manifestations of PTSD.

The present group of victims was exposed to a large range of intrusive followings, unwelcome communication, and various other forms of harassment. This finding calls attention to the severity of the threat and intrusion experienced by victims even in mostlynonforensic cases. (At the time of the study, stalking in itself was not considered a criminal offense.) Nearly three-quarters of the victims were threatened with violence; violence was actually used against half of the women. In most respects, the characteristics of stalking and the lifestyle changes made by the victims in our Dutch study group are strikingly similar to those reported in a U.S. study (4) and an Australian study (3). The overall conclusion has to be that being stalked is associated with severe and protracted suffering.

Some limitations of this study deserve comment. First, as already noted, our respondents were a self-selected group of support-seeking female victims of stalking, which likely skews the reported distress to the more severe end of the spectrum. Further studies are needed to investigate whether the psychological distress experienced by self-referred stalking victims (as presented in studies thus far) differs in nature and magnitude from that in the community at large or among forensic samples. Second, without data about victims' premorbid functioning and detailed histories of other life events or traumas, one cannot be too definite in attributing the victims' current level of functioning to the impact of stalking on their lives. Finally, a mailing of self-report instruments invites some problems particular to the research field of stalking. One cannot entirely rule out that nonstalked individuals with paranoid features or so-called false victimization syndromes (13) erroneously reported stalking, but it seems unlikely that this substantially affected the present findings. In general, it is estimated that less than 2% of the self-reported stalking victims represent cases of false victimization syndromes (2).
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